1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY RICHARDS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROCHELLE P. WALENSKY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-05128-HSG

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Re: Dkt. No. 11

On July 2, 2021, Plaintiff filed this pro se complaint against numerous Defendants, including various government officials and Dr. Masami Hattori (collectively "Defendants"). Dkt. No. 1. On the same day, Plaintiff also filed a motion asking the Court to issue a temporary restraining order "restraining the Defendants from continuing to reduce the quantities of his prescriptions." Dkt. No. 3 at 4. On July 6, 2021, the Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend and denied the motion for a temporary restraining order. Dkt. No. 7. The Court advised Plaintiff that his amended complaint needed to "lay out the required short, plain and clear statement . . . so the Court can evaluate whether there is a sufficient legal basis for the claims." Dkt. No. 7 at 4. On July 6, 2021, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint, Dkt. No. 8, and on July 20, 2021, Plaintiff filed a second ex parte application for a temporary restraining order, Dkt. No. 9. On July 22, 2021, the Court reviewed the complaint and dismissed it for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. Dkt. No. 10 ("July 22, 2021 Order"). The Court also denied the motion for a temporary restraining order without prejudice to renewal following the filing of a complaint that sufficiently alleges a claim for relief. Id. In the July 22, 2021 Order, the Court granted Plaintiff one final chance to file an amended complaint and gave Plaintiff forty-five (45) days to do so. *Id.* The deadline to file a second amended complaint has passed, and Plaintiff has

## Case 4:21-cv-05128-HSG Document 11 Filed 10/01/21 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California neither filed a second amended complaint nor otherwise communicated with the Court.

Accordingly, for these reasons and the ones stated in the Court's July 22, 2021 Order, this action is **DISMISSED**. The dismissal is without prejudice to filing a motion to reopen accompanied by a proposed second amended complaint that addresses the deficiencies identified in the Court's July 22, 2021 Order and showing good cause for the failure to timely file a second amended complaint. The Clerk shall close the file.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/1/2021

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge